App. F. IX-4

1930.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 121
Serial Nos. 1

Previous References
22-24-1-2
26-30 1-2
" 31-26-27
Later References.
Misc 118-37(1-2)
" 119-39-(1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 10

Part B for September 1934.

SUBJECT.

Requesting Sanction to issue bills amounting Rs 15-26-00 due to Sri Subramhanya temple at Subramhanya

Misc Comr
" Comptroller
" Dy Comr Hassan

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34
No 315-74-7 & 34.

Sanctioning the bills for Rs 1278

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mug File No. 121 of 1930-1

Serial No.

Letter no: Pc. 414/27-8 dated 3
From the Mug. Comm^r.

Recommends that the two bills aggregating to Rs 1526-0-0 on account of arrears of pay and padtara due to the temple of Sri Subrahmanya Swami at Subramanya which have been forwarded by the Dc. Hassan - may be sanctioned as they claim is more than 5 years old

The bills in the records are flagged (A-S

Arrears of Padtara 1278/-
Arrears of pay = Rs 248-80

May they be sanctioned? Orders

6/9 H. Lewis

6.9.30

2907/9-9

2. Please see para 2 of Mr. C's order of 31st August 1928 - copy in the file -

3. It was the duty of the Mly Sub Registrar to have drawn the amounts and disbursed the same to the proper parties, keeping monthly accounts -

4. If this procedure had been adopted, there was no reason for the accumulation of the arrears -

5. The case requires further examination

18/9/30

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0255—50,000—GPB—8 7

File No. 12 of 1930 | General Secr

6. Subd with a draft to the Mng. Comm for Consideration

3457/189

Gs. 7/8/31 (illegible)

8.9.30

5/10

Issue

5.10.30

To The Mng. Comm.

2

No: 798 Dated 6.10.30.

Returns the bills with certain observation & calls for a further report as to the validity of the claim.

Gen.
9.10.30

Letter No 414/2)-289 10.2.31 from the Mangrai Commissioner furnishing information called for in this office letter dt 6.10.30 and requesting that the two bills for Rs 15.26/- on account of arrears of pay and pasithara due to the Subrahmanya Swamy temple be sanctioned.

3

The sum of Rs 15.26-0-0 now claimed is in respect of pay and pasithara to the Sri Subrahmanya Swami temple from April 1925 to the end of March 1928.

By Before according sanction

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mcg File No — of 1930

Serial No.

it is perhaps necessary to Consult the Comptroller also (h-o:) if the Pattakaran from said Period has not been drawn and paid the sum

10) for wages

11) Yes / h

3 Mar 1931 No 264

8-3 28/2 NSK H. Lacy) 28.2.31

Mcg. G.O. No. 64-30. 3.2-3-31

To Comptroller with Compliments in favour of remarks -

h 28/2/31

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 4 MAR 1931 TA.D. B. BANGALORE

No: 483/Bii & 26.3.31

h-o: 1286/Bii D/5-3-31.

353/8/31 D/27-3-31

12) The claim is admissible as per books of this office.

26.3.31 Comptroller

13) Please see the Sub-Division Officer's report dated 10th May 1929. It is not established that the services for which these payments were due, were really performed for the whole of the period for which the claim is now preferred. Then again, it is stated in the Deputy Commissioner

14) May be sanctioned 1st Member h 20/8/31

NOTE - Contn Sheet

report dated 4th July 1930 that the amounts have been advanced from the 'temple accounts.' It is not clear what accounts are meant. Is it the account of the Mysore Government grant, or that of the institution in which receipts from other sources are also included? If the latter, on what authority were the advances made and to whom is the payment now due? It would also appear from the records that Mr. B.Anantarama Sharma is no longer in service. The validity of the claim requires ~~full~~ examination. The records may be gone through and the point about the tenability of the claim fully examined. The Sub-Division Officer suggested that, in view of the position, the best disposal would be to devote the amount towards the construction of a Rest House at Subramanaya. If the amount has been advanced from the account of the institution under the control of other authorities, the latter ought not to have resorted to this procedure without our permission.

Mud 3/(illegible)

h

1) Obtn returning records for reference calling for information raised in the minutes of the first Member of Council in para 15 noted

S.S MSK 4/4/31 In Consideration

2) Please put up a typed draft.

2.4.31

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 3 of 1930.-1

Serial No.	
	18) Typed draft submitted Fl. Secy) 19) 6.4.31
	20) 11/4/31
	21) Issued
4	No 2235 of 14.4.31 to the Mysore Commissioner calling for a complete report containing information on points raised therein
5	Letter No P.C. 414/27-28 of 23/2/31 from the Nilgiris Commissioner requesting that the arrear bills amounting to Rs 15-28-8 on account of arrears of pay and Padikara due to late Comble Sri Subramania Swamy in the South Canara District may be sanctioned for reasons stated therein Rs 16/4
	22) The amount in question is stated to be utilised for providing accommodation to pilgrims by making up suitable contingency. The Managing Board of Trustees of the temple is proposed to be appointed subject to the condition that half the rest house is reserved for the

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—G.P.B.—50,000—8 7 30

Mrs File No. Nil of 1930 | General and Re. Secretariat

Note on "Priests during the Chamba[illegible] Season - The information furnished is — Subn with Depte Wmarks in para 15.

Sd Depte Work

2) Submd with respects para 15 Depte for kind perusal & orders. Sd 8/3/32

Fin Secy)

Sec. Member 2d) 20.3.32

It would be helpful if V.S.[illegible] The Case is noted on both the Pp of the Board's note by Mrs and the Revs urged by M.C.

Mrs 24/3/32.

2/m/3

On 3-9-30 The M.C. moved for Sanction two arrear bills of pay & pardhana aggregating Rs 15.26/- due to Sri Subrahmanya Swami temple on assumption that stipulated sevas were regularly performed. In reply his attention was drawn to para 2 of his order of 21-8-26 & he was asked to examine the validity of the claim. From the report received from him on 10/12-/31, it is clear that the main Cause of accumulation of arrears is due to the misunderstandings between

No P.C. 414 of 16/5/32 from the Secy to Govt Communicating on the same subject. Subn 17/5/32

OFFICE OF THE [illegible] 5679.

visited.

The request of the Mysore Panchayat for the construction of a Chatram at Subrahmanyam has been negatived. Vide Memo 10-31-27 (Flag Z).

The proposal of the Mysore Panchayat for construction of a rest house at Subrahmanyam and has been agreed to/allowed. Vide orders of 12/31/31 in Memo 29-24-2 (Flag A) & Memo 261-36-2 (Flag A) respectively.

23/8/24

RS 9/3

9/10/31/82

6848

11/3

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 121 of 1930.

Serial No.

W

The Mysore Panchayat & the Devasthanam Temple Manager. The payment of an annual was also urged. Quite independent of this proposal & having no bearing on the subject referred to above, the M.C. in para 3 of his letter dt 24/2/31 pointed out the real need for a rest house at Subrahmanya on account of the large number of pilgrims visiting Subrahmanya throughout the year. The temple is in the heart of a jungle where little or no accommodation available even on ordinary occasions. On Shashti festival & other memorable days the inconvenience caused to pilgrims is reported to be greater — Some officers & the staff deputed from here to supervise the Banabaz an Santhanam are also said to have had much inconvenience for want of a Rest house. The estimate for Rs 6000/— submitted for the purpose by the M.C. fell through for want of funds

Later on the Mysore Panchayatdars at Subramanya represented the need for a chathram for the use of Mysore Palace ...

NOTE—Contn Sheet

D. O. letter was received in this office letter of 28/12/31.

As regards the arrears of Pay & Padi Mana the M.C. was asked to investigate and report in the light of observations communicated in this office letter No 23355 of 14-4-31. The P.W.D. is silent about the several points raised in the Minutes of the First Member of Council (Revenue & Finance) Vide para 2 of the D.C.'s letter of 4/1/32 addressed to M.C. - from the discussion the D.C. Hassan had with the members of the Bd of Trustees it is seen that they are prepared to spend arrears of pay & padi mana for providing accommodation to Pilgrims by putting up a suitable building, though this is not substantiated in the letter of 14/12/31 from the Secy to the Bd of Trustees of the Temple. The D.C. refers to a letter of 15-12-31 which is not in the file of papers.

The records do not bear out that the services in respect of which arrears are now proposed were performed at any time. Now that the management has gone into the hands of the Bd of Trustees, it is hoped that there will be no irregularity of the kind noticed in para 5.

2

D. O. No. 1565 x T. Minutes.

This is not correct. Reply to the points are contained in Rev D I as well as DC's letter of 22nd Dec 31 & the M.C.

Flags.

This is not also correct. In letter of 14.12.31 the Secy to the Bd. of Trustees of the Temple stated that the services were performed during the period in question. & Hassan D.C.'s letter of 4.7.30 it is also stated that the Secy & the Bd of Trustees satisfied that the Vinayagar has been regularly performed.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 121 of 1930.

Serial No.

The m.c. from the beginning has been pointing out the need for a Rest house at Subrahmanya & it is said for considerable whether a definite undertaking in black & white from the Trustees to the effect that they would utilise the annual sums for providing a rest house subject to the conditions set forth in para 3 of the P.W.D need be obtained by the W.C. before sanctioning the payment of annual claims

[illegible]
20/5

A note on the several points raised is put up:-

(1) Re performance of Sevas during the period of claim. The Sub Collector in his report of 20th May 1928 doubted the performance of the Sevas during the period from April 1925 to July 1927 - as the accounts of the temple were not ready available then. But after getting the accounts, the Amildar of Ranjanabad which has forwarded an extract of the Accounts maintained by the Trustees during the expenditure incurred on account of the Sevas performed on behalf of the Department from April 25 to March 28. The Secy. of the Board of Trustees of the temple says also that Sevas during the period in question have been performed. The Dt Collector also agrees in this view as proper accounts have been maintained. It must be inferred that the Sevas have been performed.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

(2) The account from which the amounts have been advanced ———

It is reported that as the amount received from the Mysore Govt. are credited to the General funds of the Temple and the Services performed and as there was no cessation in the Shiva Lanka Services during the period, the Temple management incurred this expenditure out of the funds of the Temple for the period in dispute, under the belief that the full amount would be paid to them without any hitch.

(3) The authority under which the advances were made & the fund to which repayment is due. ——— ———

As regards the authority for advancing the amounts from the Temple funds, the Secy. to the Board of Trustees in his letter dy 28.6.1927 to the RC states as follows:—

'I need only point out that 'Padithara & Deeparadhana' is being performed at Subrahmanyaswami (temple) from very old times & the Mysore Govt have been regularly paying the same. The Temple trustees are getting it done in view of the fact that the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore has authorised them to do so & there was no order to the contrary even during the disputed period in the fullest confidence that the necessary amounts would be paid. Just because there was some little trouble between the local servant & the Trustee, it could never have been thought that the Govt. of Mysore would refuse the 'Padithara & Deeparadhana' due to the Temple, as per

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 121 of 1930.

Serial No.

Long Standing manor.'

It will thus be seen that the advances ~~have~~ much unauthorized ~~from~~ made in the interests of the Sevas.

The amounts now claimed are due to the Subrahmanyaswami temple from the funds of which the advances have been made.

(4) Regarding the validity of the claim —

The only authority for the claim is the temple accounts which show that the amounts required for the Sevas have been paid & the statement of the Secy. to the Board of Trustees that the amounts have been advanced from the temple funds. The Secy. to the Bd. in his letter of 6.11.28 to the Amildar Mayavaram also has also stated that the Board is completely satisfied that the Vinayagas have been regularly performed & the necessary quantities of materials have been supplied by the temple & that the pay. to the Sevaks is also payable.

~~For the above reasons the Board is of opinion that the above claim will~~

(5) Regarding the point that the Hegde Parpathegar should have drawn & disbursed the amounts, it is reported that owing to some misunderstanding between the Hegde Parpathegar (Srinivasadas) & Mr. Ananthanarayana Sarma the then manager of the temple to receive the amounts in question through the Hegde Parpathegar the amounts were not drawn & disbursed by the Trustees

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

(6) Re Construction of a Rest House at Subrahmanya

As the need for a Rest House at Subrahmanya is felt especially during the Champa Sasti festival, it is suggested by the DO Ranjanabad that the amount of the claim may be utilised for constructing a building ~~for the purpose~~ ~~the balance being paid by the~~ which is estimated to cost Rs. 4000/-

In his letter of 4th Jan 1932 the DC Hassan Dt. has stated that the Members of the Board are prepared to spend the arrears of Paditharam & Deeparadhana amounts (Rs. 1525-8-0) one to the temple for providing accommodation to pilgrims by putting up a suitable building & that the President gave Communication of this effect on 15.12.32 (The Communication of 15.12.32 is not in the file.) The DC is of opinion that this offer may be accepted provided at least half the Rest House is reserved for the use of Officers during the Champasasti festival at the place & the Trustees of the temple pay a moiety of the cost of Constructing the Rest House & its full maintenance cost or maintain it themselves. To be sure on this point definite it is desirable to have an undertaking from the Board of trustees about the Construction of the Building & the others conditions proposed

937
13-2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 14 of 1931. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

by the DC.

2. The M.C. in his letter dy 23.2.32 recommends that the arrear bills (amounting to Rs. 15-25-8-0) may be sanctioned subject to the conditions proposed by the DC (re the Construction of the Rest House & Maintenance) referred to in the above paragraph

3. Submitted with reference to the Member's orders in para 2 supra

Secretary
25/5/32

4. The Supdt's note supra clearly explains the situation. It is now clear (1) that the Sevas for which payments are now claimed have been performed,

(2) that the amounts in respect of these Sevas have been advanced from the Temple funds

(3) that there was no authority for making such advances except that the transfer procedure was adopted and

(4) that the Board of Trustees are willing to construct a Rest house and agree to the conditions of the DC.

5. The M.C's recommendations is for orders.

26/5/32

(for Secy)

1st Member

Please See para 2 of M.C's letter dy 23.2.32. It states therein that the amounts paid by the Sore Devasthanam were credited to the general funds of the Temple and also proper steps

F F
F IL
28 MAY 1932
No. 2706

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—69,000—13-8-31

(d) It is not therefore clear why bills have been prepared again and are stated to be due for payment. Then again if the money has been spent or is payable to persons who have claims for services or sevas performed how is it taken to be available for putting up a building?

30.5.32

31/5

With reference to the Members minute of 30.5.32 it is respectfully submitted as follows:—

Para 2 of the M.C's letter of 23.2.32 only mentions the procedure adopted in respect of accounting of moneys received by the Temple on behalf of the Myore Govt. The verification of the amounts credited refers to the periods prior to that than the period of claim in question.

Further the M.C. Secy in the same letter, on that the expenses during the period of claim have been incurred by the Trustees of the Temple in the belief that the full amount would be paid back.

Vide also letter of 14.12.27 of the Secy to the Govt on which the above statements are based.

The papers also show that the amount required for the Govt Servants during the period of (arrear) claim has been

advanced by the temple funds even though no funds on behalf of the Inginee [?] fund were credited to the Fund.

~~Thus these~~ The bills have been prepared only for the period during which ~~these~~ the monthly amounts of ~~Rs.~~ required for the sevas on behalf of the fund were not paid by the temple authorities, but on the other hand advanced by ~~the~~ from the Temple funds.

X) The question of constructing a Rest House is only a secondary question which has been raised as a side issue. This can be settled after the question of arrear claim ~~is~~ has been disposed of.

K...
2/6/32

The question I think it must be ascertained by the Comptroller that the moneys claimed have not been paid. After this is claimed as pay may be made after making sure that the ~~+~~ where behalf claims are prepared. As regards parikarams for annual recurring works really worked details should be called for and such items as cinema may be in case been missed or avoided ~~the~~ ... paid that the seva is ... to be shown. Amount is allowed to be shown or some charities is concerned. So far as Parikaram is concerned one can never be true that the sevas have been performed.
23/6/32

... has nothing to do with the main question ~~of~~ about the ~~ar~~ ~~relating~~ ~~in respect of~~ arrear claim and

As the Mysore officers were finding it difficult to find suitable accommodation when they went to Subramanya for Shashti &c and a proposal was made to construct a Rest House. ~~The~~ Trustees have agreed to construct a ~~Rest House~~ Rest House and reserve some accommodation therein for Mysore Officers.
D...
2/6/32.

The a/cs may kindly take the file to the Muzrai, and obtain further ... in view of ... as stated above.
... 18/6
Subordinate ...

Fin. Secy)

1369

189.

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 121 of 1930

Serial No.



56) W/S and
G.S. Jun
23/6 57) Done 2/7/32

6 No 6 of 2.7.32 from the Comptroller enquiring if the amounts claimed in the enclosed bills have been already paid.

As regards calling for details in respect of pardhans referred to in para 53 it is for orders whether a D.O. may now be sent to the Comptroller as desired

G.S. Jun
4/7

56 Yes
2/4/7/32

Letter no 24 T.A.O.B.II of 4.8.32 from the Comptroller stating that the amounts now claimed on behalf of Sri Subrahmanya Swamis temple South Canara District have not so far been paid with the exception of Archaks pay from 7-5-26 to the end of December 1927 at Rs 1-4 which was paid in October 1927.

57 Information furnished by the Comptroller is with reference to para 53. Forwards also the further action to be taken

A 98 Draft re Pardhans will be put up Ref-paras 55 & 58.
20/8 Jun

Yes please

A - Please refer papers to G.S. for advice as to whether the pardhans have worked to entitle them to the Annuity 24/8/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Views of C/S is invited to the Auditor's report for 6/1/31 - The report is not clear as to the parties on whose behalf the claim is now made really worked during the period in question - In fact there is no specific information on the subject is found except the point that payments were not received on account of the misunderstanding between Anantharama Varma & the Mysore Parushathagar - If agreed The file will be sent to H.C. & C.O. for their obtaining and furnishing information required specially in para 5 & 3 -

Sub Records -

C/S 6/8/32 Reference is invited to para 32 supra from which it will be seen that the Sevas in the temple during the period of the claim in question have been performed. From this it has to be inferred that the parties have worked during the period. There is no specific mention anywhere in the records that the Sevaks have worked in the temple.

There are also several petitions from the Archaks that emoluments for 3 years have not been paid.

For orders as to whether the HC may be specially consulted in respect above.

27/8/32

This does not seem

The C/S may the Member, and then in view of the matter above by HC/6 Under Secretary

Serial No.

necessary. Kind reference is invited to para 55 Supra wherein the I member's noting are noted. Now that the Comptroller has furnished info ~~asked~~ for it is for orders if the claim re pay may now be passed. In doing so details re pariah hire be called for etc

The claim of the contractor for the period referred to by the Comptroller may be passed.

For Secy)

31/5/32

II) I agree with the above

1/3/33

D/- Ireland

1/3

For Secy)

Ireland for Approval

16/3/33

II Member)

21/4/33

23/4

24/4

Govt no 1505-6 of 27-4-33 sanctioning the arrears pay bills referred to therein and calling for details re: the pariah Charges claimed.

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Letter No D. C 1414 dated 15.5.34
From the M.C in ellyshe
with reference to para 2 of G.O. dated
24.4.1933 requests that the present claim
of Rs 1228. of the temple authorities on
account of arrears of Paditara and Deepam
Sadhare charges due to the Temple at
Subramanya in Uppinangadi Taluk
may be admitted and bills sanctd. the
charge being met from the source
indicated by him.

73/ The collection containing the G.O
referd to above, was kept for reference
with D. No 677 and called to be sent on 14.5.34
It is required for reference in this case
and its return will be obliged if applied

7(1) [illegible]
9/6 4/5/34

75)
The file referd to above (D. No 677) was made
available on the 28th inst. after issue of the
draft therein approved, and the bills pertaining
the G.O dated 27.4.1933 is herewith.
76/ In para 2 of the G.O. referd to above, the
M.C was requested to furnish details of
the paditara charges claimed as due to the
Temple at Subramanya.
7) He has not furnished any details so
far for these charges, as called for and he seems

(In Red file)

S
Asstt.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-32

to think perhaps that the details already furnished in each of the monthly bills from April 1925 to March 1928 by the Temple authorities answer the point at issue. Details of these charges were called for under Members orders at para 55 supra. So that only such items as could not have been missed or avoided may be paid and not the rest"

The M.C once again requests that, for the reasons that the amounts paid by the M. Govt are credited to the general funds of the Temple and properly spent and as the expenses during the period to which the present claim refers were incurred by the Trustees in the belief that the bills in question ... may be sanctioned" that the claim of the temple authorities may be admitted and the bills sanctd for Rs 1278 at Rs 35-80 p.m. for three years from April 1925 to March 1928

(1) The M. C's statement seems in effect to mean that except the details already furnished by the Temple authorities in December 1924 there are no further details for the charges in question, that all the items mentioned in each of the 36 bills have actually incurred and that none of them were missed or avoided. Whether sanction may not be accorded

point (i)

1246 (22

Sri Subramanya Temple – Allowing Dasilwar charge

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Misc. File No. | of 1934.

Serial No.

to the bills as recommended by the M.C. is for orders.

(2) The M.C has also recommended that out of the bonds held by the Temple, bonds of the market value of about Rs 1278 may be transferred to the Trustees on behalf of the Temple on the understanding that the amount thereof will be utilised towards the cost of building a Chattram. This is for orders.

Para (II) Indian

5) Reference paras 5 5 and 6 supra.

DT 31/8 MW 4/8/34

The office note above explains the case. In the case explained by the M.C. his recommendations (1) to sanction the bills for pariharam & Deeparadhana charges amounting to Rs 1278/- [illegible] and (2) to transfer out of [illegible] the bonds held by the temple, bonds of a market value sufficient to cover the above amount to the Trustees on behalf of the temple on the understanding that the amount thereof will be utilised towards the cost of building a chattram may both be approved.

DJ 8/8/34

JL Secy) I would also suggest that

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6 34

the amount may be paid to the Municipality only after the Chattram is built and a definite agreement is entered into to place a portion of the building at the disposal of Income officers when they visit the place

2nd Order

C/S 2/7/18

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 20 AUG. 1934 No. 1242

24

22/8

2/24/8

A draft G.O. Barely sufficient.

25/8

27/8

Secy 2/579

5. SEP. 1934

24/9/34

Muz. 372
8.9.30

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...2nd..September ....193 0.

3

Muz 121-30.

(24

NO. PE 414 27-6 Ewo files

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith in original for reference and obliging return correspondence ending with letter No.C.1394-27/ Muz.dated 4th July 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District recommending that in the circumstances explained the two bills ---- aggregating Rs.1526-0-0 on account of arrears of pay and Paditara -- due to the temple of Sri Subrahmanya Swami at Subrahmanya may be -- sanctioned.

2. From the letter received from the Board office and enclosed in the records and the copies of the monthly expenditure statements furnished by the Temple authorities it may be presumed that the --- stipulated sevas have been regularly performed and that the claim -- now preferred is admissible. I beg therefore to recommend that the two bills in question may kindly be sanctioned, as the claim is more than 5 years old. The amount of the bills will be met from the -- funds of the institution which amounted to Rs.2172-13-5 at the end of 1929-30.

3. The connected file of vernacular papers is enclosed for --- reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. P. Rajagopala

Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

Section Mng

File No. 121-30.

Serial No. 2

No. 778/See all the records

Diary No. of letter replied to D. 372

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To

The Mng. Commr.

in Mysore

Date of issue 6-10-30

Signed by .....

Sir.

With reference to your letter no. P.C 416/27-8 dated 3.9.30. recommending ~~that the~~ two bills aggregating Rs 1526-0-0. on Ac. of arrears of pay and paditara due to the temple of Sri Subrahmanya Swami at Subrahmanya ~~may be~~ ~~Sanctioned &c.~~ I am directed to ~~State~~ Others that according to para 2 of your order dated 21.8.1926, it was the duty of the Mysore Parpathegar to have drawn the amounts and

[over

List of enclosures ..... all the records

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

disbursed the same to the proper

parties keeping monthly accounts

and ~~Work~~ being why this procedure ~~had been~~ was not

~~accepted~~ adopted, ~~there was no reason~~

~~for the accumulation of arrears.~~

~~The Case requires further examination~~
~~in these circumstances~~

I am to request you to examine the reasons for the ~~case~~ and forward a further report ~~in the matter~~ as to the validity of the claim.

~~the case further in the circumstances~~.

The records are herewith returned.

I have etc

5/10/20

Asst: Secy. to Govt
Gs Misc
17/9

DK 778/Secy 12-30-2

Encl. All the records

6th October 30

Rajasevanaravana

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No. P.C.414 of 1927-28 dated 3rd September 1930 recommending sanction to two bills aggregating Rs. 1526-0-0 on account of arrears of pay and paditara due to the temple of Sri Subrahmanyaswami at Subrahmanya, I am directed to observe that according to para. 2 of your Office order dated 21st August 1926, it was the duty of the Mysore Parpathegar to have drawn the amounts and disbursed the same to the proper parties keeping monthly accounts and to enquire why this procedure was not adopted.

I am to request you to examine the reasons for the accumulation of arrears and forward a further report ~~xfxkhaxxkxkm~~ as to the validity of the claim. The records are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

6.10.30
for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.
SG

No. P.C. 414 Encl File 27-8

28

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 9th February 1931.

10.

Mez 121-30-3

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajaseva Parayana
B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Nil Dated 6th October 1930, calling for further information in the matter of the payment of arrears of padithara and deeparadhana due to the Sri Subramanyaswami temple, South Kanara District, from April 1925 to end of March 1928, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Hassan Deputy Commissioner's letter No. C.1394-27 dated 24-1-1931, stating that owing to some misunderstandings between Mr.Srinivasa Rao, Mysore Parpathegar and Mr. Anantharama Sharma, the then Temple Manager, the amounts in question were not drawn and disbursed to the parties concerned every month as ordered by this office and that as the management of the temple was subsequently transferred to the Board of Trustees specially appointed for the purpose, the accumulated arrears were claimed on a supplemental bill. It is thus seen that the main cause for the accumulation of the arrears is due to the refusal of Mr.Anantharamasarma the then Manager of the temple, to receive the amounts in question through the Mysore Parpathegar. In the above circumstances, I request that the two bills for Rs.1526/- on account of the arrears of pay and padithara due to the temple, may kindly be sanctioned by Government as already recommended in this office letter No.P.C. 414/27-28 dated 3-9-1930.

The connected records accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.1394-27 dated 24-1-31 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District. to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

29

Subject:- Arrears of padithara and deeparadhane due to the Sri Subramanyaswami temple, South Kanara District from April 1925 to end of March 1928.

With reference to your memo No. P.C. 414/27-28 dated 16th October 1930 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that it has been reported by both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer that owing to some misunderstandings between Srinivasa Rao, Mysore parapathegar and Mr. Anantharamasharma, the then Temple Manager, the amounts in question were not drawn and disbursed to the parties concerned keeping monthly accounts. The management of the temple having been transferred to the Board of Trustees specially appointed for the purpose, the accumulated arrears were claimed on a supplemental bill and submitted for sanction.

The main cause for the accumulation of these arrears is also reported to be due to the refusal of Mr. Anantharamasharama the then Manger of the temple, to receive the amounts in question through the Mysore parapathegar.

In the above circumstances and in view of the fact that these claims for pay and padithara are pending from a very long time, I request you to be so good as to kindly obtain sanction of Government to admit these claims.

The connected vernacular records ending with Sakalespur Sub Division Officer's No.A1.C.263-28 dated 20-1-31, accompany.

"Copy"

Yrarasuja Rau

For Muzrai Commissioner.

~~Fair Off~~

To Muz 121-30-4 (30

Muz. Commr.

No. 2235/Rev Records.

Dated 14.4.31

By Sri V.S. Sastry

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D.C.414 27-28 dated 10-2-31 requesting sanction to the two bills amounting to Rs. 1526/- on account of the arrears of pay and Paditara due to the Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple, S.C. District, I am directed to observe as follows:-

From a perusal of the ~~Please see Para~~ S.D.O's report dated 10.5.1929, ~~the~~ it is not established that the services for which these payments were due, were really performed for the whole of the period for which the claim is now preferred. Then again, it is stated in the D.C's report dated 4th July 1930 that the amounts have been advanced from the 'temple accounts'. ~~It is not clear what accounts are meant. Is it the account of the Mysore Government grant, or that~~ of the institution ~~in which receipts from other sources are also included?~~ If the latter, on what authority were the advances made and to whom is the payment now due. It would also appear from the records that Sri. B. Anantarama Sharma is no longer in service. The validity of the claim requires examination. The Sub Division Office suggested that, in view of the position, the best disposal would be to devote the amount towards the construction of a Rest House at Subramanya."

~~An exhaustive~~ A full report containing information on all the points raised above is required. The records received are herewith returned for reference and retransmission.

I have &c.,

6.4.31 11/4/31

Finl. Secy. to Govt.

C.R.C.

C.L.14/27-28
Two + one file

Muz 121-30-5

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 20th February 1932.
23

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

Rajaseva Dalavai

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to correspondence ending with your letter No.2235 Muz.121-30-4 dated 14th April 1931 regarding the Paditara to the temple of Sri Subramanyaswami, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter C.C.1694-97 dated 22nd December 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kasaragod District answering some of the points raised in your letter under reply and requesting the sanction of the accounts to the following bills drawn by Rs.1235-8-0 in addition to recovering the advances made on the bills.

2. As it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner that the examining officers have found that the amounts drawn by the temple Committee from the general funds of the temple in excess of the sanctioned scale (vide G.O.F.D.1711(1)-30 dated 4th April 1931) were spent on the expenses during the period of the Chief Commissioner's visit and as the Chairman ~~of the Committee~~ of the Committee will be personally liable, I do not think the question arises in this case. The bills may, therefore, be recommended, the charges being met from the general funds.

3. In this connection, I invite attention to the orders in G.O.F.D.229-30 dated 4th June 1930 [illegible] stating that the Board of Trustees [illegible] Paditara and Deeparadhane [illegible] accommodation to pilgrims [illegible] during the [illegible]

officers during the Champashasti season and the balance estimated cost and the maintenance charges are met from temple

4. In the circumstances I request that the arrears bills referred to above may be sanctioned subject to the above conditions. The ---- Deputy Commissioner will be requested to obtain a definite undertaking from the temple Trustees to the above effect before the amount is --- actually ~~is~~ disbursed.

5. The file of correspondence papers is sent for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Huzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.P.R.299-30 dated 4th January 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Huzur Commissioner in Mysore.

Re the construction of a rest house at Subramanya in the -- South Kanara District for Mysore Officers.

With reference to your letter No.1743/30-31dated 22/7/31, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that when I had been to Subramanya to supervise the conduct of the current year's Barahmoor Santharpane, I inspected the land proposed for the construction of a rest house for the use of Mysore Government Officers. The land in question is situated on the left side of the road leading to the -- temple. There is no convenience of water in the place and it is covered by rank vegetation all round.

When I discussed this matter with the members of the Board of Trustees of the temple they told me that they are prepared to spend the arrears of Padithara and Deeparadhane amounts (1525-8-0) due to the temple for providing accommodation to pilgrims by putting up a suitable building and the President gave Communication on 15-12-31 to that effect which is herein enclosed.

This has not been received

In order to construct a building nearly Rs.4000/- is required and as such I am of opinion that this Government may offer to accept the offer provided at least half the rest house is reserved for Mysore Officers during the Champasasti festival at the place and the ------ Trustees of the temple pay a moiety of the cost of constructing the rest house and its full maintenance cost or sustain it themselves.

"Copy"

Illegible

for Huzur Commissioner.

Letter No.C.1304-27 dated 22nd December 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

34.

Re: the arrears of pay and madithara due to the temple servants at Subramanya in the South Kanara District from April 1925 to end of March 1928.

With reference to your Memo No.P.C.414/27-28 dated 17th April 1931 on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows:-

On examining the accounts maintained by the temple, authorities during my visit to Subramanya, it was found that the amounts received from our Government are being credited to the General Funds of the temple. The Secretary to the Board of Trustees of the temple who was consulted in the matter has presented an application on 14-12-31 in which he has stated as follows:-

1. "That the temple accounts show, that the services for which the payments were due, were and are being conducted prior, subsequent to and during the whole of the period for which the claim is now preferred

2. As the amounts received from the Mysore Government are credited to the General Funds of the temple and the services performed and as xx there has been no cessation in the stipulated services during the period in question, the amount has become due to the temple.

3. The temple management incurred these expenses for the period in dispute under the belief that the full amount will be paid to them without any hitch.

4. Mr. B. Anantharamasarma is no longer the Trustee. The amounts are therefore to be paid to the temple accounts.

5. As the amounts have been advanced from the temple funds, it would not be right to with-hold it now.

6. Under the circumstances, the amount cannot be utilised for the construction of the proposed rest house by the Mysore Government.

7. The application referred to above along with the connected ---- vernacular paper files are sent herewith.

8. I request that early orders may be passed in the matter of sanctioning the bills in question.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mucc

File No. Mdl 124-32

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to ....1361....

No 6/ Mag Rec 32.

To

The Auditor

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

Date of issue ....2.7.32....

In forwarding herewith Amounting Rs 15.2.8-0 the arrear bills received from the M-C with his letter No P.C. 414/27-28 dy 23.2-32 on account of Mag and Pasitha due to the temple of ~~Sri~~ Sri Subrahmanyaswamy ~~temple~~ Sthala Carana Kl, I am directed to request You will be good as ~~furnish~~ furnish me with any as to whether ~~the~~ the amount now claimed have not already been paid. The ~~Zehsildar~~ The bills may kindly be returned with the reply.

Signed by ....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by ....

Examined by .....

Macca Ek

2.7.32

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

Mag

Gis 22/7/32

31

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Enclosures : 4 bills

GENL. & REV. SEC. 8 AUG. 1932 183 MUZRAI.

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

No. 54 TALB II

Dated the 4th August 1932.

S. Shamaiah, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

Rec'd 12-8-32

Sir,

In returning herewith the arrear bills amounting to Rs.1,005-0-0 noted in the margin with your letter No.6/Muz. dated 2nd July 1932 on account of pay and padivara charges of Sri Subramanya Swami, South Canara District, I have the honor to state that the amounts now claimed have not been paid so far as it can be verified from the information available in this office with the exception of the Archak's pay from 7th May 1926 to end of December 1927 at Rs.1-4-0 which has been paid in the month of October 1928.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Comptroller.

TSR

2/418

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.P.C.414/27-28 dated 23rd February 1932, from the Mazrai Commissioner, recommending sanction to the bills aggregating Rs.1,525-8-0

Pay ...	Rs.	354- 0- 0
Paditharа "		1,171- 8- 0
Total	Rs.	1,525- 8- 0

on account of arrears of pay and paditara due to the temple of Sri Subrahmanyaswami at Subrahmanya, South Canara district, as the stipulated sevas have been regularly performed and the claim preferred is admissible, explaining the circumstances under which the claim which is more than 5 years old fell into arrears and proposing that the cost may be met from the funds of the Institution amounting to Rs. 2,172-15-5 at the end of 1928-30 and stating that the Board of Trustees of the Temple are reported to be agreeable to spend the arrears of Paditara and Deeparadhane amounts referred to above for providing accommodation to pilgrims by putting up suitable buildings at the place.

2. Further correspondence ending with letter No.24/7. S.B.II dated 4th August 1932, from the Comptroller, stating that the amounts claimed have not been paid so far as it can be verified from information available in his office with the exception of the Archak's pay from 7-5-1926 to the end of December 1927 at Rs.1-4-0 which has been paid in the month of October 1929.

ORDER NO. 1505-6/Mwg 121-30-8

Dated, Bangalore, the 25th/27th April 1933.

Government are pleased to sanction the bills in respect

respect of [illegible] disallowing the claim regarding the Area pay for the period from 7-5-1926 to the end of December 1927 at Re.1-4-0 per mensem.

As regards paddithara charges claimed the Muzrai Commissioner is requested to submit details therefor.

AG
RG
7/5

for Financial Secretary to Government.
9-5

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with records.
The Comptroller & Auditor General.

No.P.3/[illegible].

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Misc 3

File No. 121-30

Serial No. 8

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 27-4- 1933.

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa.

Read— Correspondence ending with letter No P.C. 414/27-28 dy 23/2/32 from the M.C. recommending sanction to how bills — aggregating Rs 1525-8 (x) on account of arrears of pay and padikara due Order thereon.— No — to late Mantri of Sri Subrahmanyaswamie as Subrahmanya, South Canara Dt, as the stipulated terms have been regularly observed & the claim preferred is admissible, ex plaining the circumstances [illegible]

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) ~~published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?~~

(x) Pay Rs 354-0-0

Padikara 1171-8-0

Total 1525-8-0

the Claim which is
more than 5 years old & fell
into arrears and proposing
that the sum may be met from
the funds of the Institution
amounting to Rs 2172-13-5
at the end of 1929-30
adding that the Board of
Trustees of the temple
are reported to be agreeable
to spend the arrears
of Padikara and Deeparadhana
amounts referred to above
for providing accommodation
to pilgrims by building
up suitable buildings at the place.

List of Enclosure

2) Further Correspondence
ending with letter No 24/T.A.DR II
dt 4.8.32 from the Comptr
stating that the amounts
claimed have not been
paid so far as it can
be verified from information
available in his office

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

with the exception of the Archaka's pay from 7.5.26 to the end of Decr 1927 at Rs 1/4 which has been paid in the month of Octr 1929.

Orders No 1505-6. Dt 27-4-33
Endt on file dtd.
Bills are released to Sanction the bills in respect of pay, disallowing the claim regarding the Archaka's pay for the period from 7.5-26 to the end of Decr 1927 at Re 1-4 annas

As regards Kadithana charges claimed the M.C. is requested to submit details therefor

16/3/33

To

The M.C. Bills received The Comp^{r}.

15/3

Revenue Records 27-28

GEN. TRIAL 1246 17 MAY 1934 (40

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 12 *193*

F

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

MU 3 121-30-9

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

..... *Department.*

SIR,

Letter No.C.357-33 dated 17-1-[illegible] from the [illegible] to the Assistant Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Mysore Commissioner [illegible].

Re: the arrears of Paaltaxe [illegible] to the Sri Subramanyaswamy Temple at Subramanya in the South [illegible] District.

With reference to [illegible] No.P. . 14/33-38 [illegible] 1933 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith the records relating thereto [illegible] dated 27-3-34 and to state [illegible].

The Amildar of [illegible] has [illegible] for the purpose i.e., a total sum of Rs.1411-10-[illegible] as per [illegible] dated 17-9-1930 as per [illegible] dated 3-8-1930 [illegible] State Loan Bonds of the face value of Rs.1500/- [illegible] the sum of Rs.1411-10-[illegible] Rs.973-11-9 [illegible], [illegible] Rs.1500/- [illegible] Rs.1411-10-8 [illegible] Rs.973-11-9 [illegible] Rs.1500/- [illegible] stated above.

3. It is [illegible] available [illegible] Rs.1411-10-8 [illegible] of the [illegible] Subramanya.

4. [illegible] Secretary [illegible] Committee of the [illegible] the [illegible] the Sri Subramanya, [illegible] Board [illegible] the Temple of Subramanya with [illegible] have no objection [illegible] for building [illegible] Sri Subramanya [illegible].

5. [illegible] to the place is absolutely necessary [illegible] buildings belonging [illegible] sufficient funds at the credit [illegible] the same may be ordered [illegible] which are got [illegible].

6. Under the circumstances explained above, I request Government to accord sanction for meeting the expenditure in question from the Purchase Contingency funds as recommended by the Officer.

"COPY"

Municipal Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE 44

Read:-

Government Order No.1505-6/Muz.121-30-8 dated 27th April 1933 sanctioning the bills in respect of the arrears of pay due to the temple of Sri Subrahmanyaswami at Subrahmanya, South Canara District, disallowing the claim regarding the Archak's pay for the period from 7th May 1926 to the end of December 1927 at Re1-4-0 per mensem and requesting the Muzrai Commissioner to submit details of the arrears of Paditara charges of Rs1171-8-0 claimed as due to the same temple.

2. Letter No.P.C.414 dated the 15th May 1934 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore recommending in the circumstances stated therein, (i) sanction to the bills amounting to Rs1278/- claimed on account of the arrears of daily Paditara and Deeparadhane charges payable to the above temple from April 1925 to the end of March 1928 at Rs35-8-0 per mensem and (ii) to transfer, out of the bonds held by the temple State Loan Bonds of the market value sufficient to cover the above amount (Rs1278/-) to the Trustees on behalf of the temple on the understanding that the amount thereof will be utilised towards the cost of building a Chattram.

Order No. 315-7 / Muz 121-30-10 Income & Revenue

Dated, Bangalore, the 6th September 1934.

The Bills aggregating Rs1278 are sanctioned. The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner to transfer to the Trustees on behalf of the Temple State Loan Bonds of sufficient value to cover the above amount is also approved subject to the condition that the amount should be paid to the Trustees, only after the Chattram is built and a definite agreement is entered into, to place a portion of the building at the disposal of the Mysore Government Officers when they visit the place.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with sanctioned bills & other records.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore
The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

BJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mlly

File No. 121 30

Serial No. 10

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 7-9- 1934

Signed by Mr B. Muddekrishnappa

Read— G.O. No 1505-6 / Mlly 121-30-8 dated 27.6.1933 forwarding Sanctioned the bills in respect of Pay the arrears of pay due to the temple of Sri Subramanyaswami at Subramanya South Canara Dt, disallowing the claim regarding the Archaka

Order thereon.—No pay for the period from 7.5.1926 to the end of December 1927 at Re 1-4-0 p.m. but requesting M.C to submit details of the arrears of Paditharu charges of Rs 1171-8-0 claimed as due to the same temple.

Letter No P.C 4/16 dated the

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

recommending in the circumstances stated therein, (i) sanction to the bills amounting to Rs 1278/- claimed on account of the arrears of daily padikkam and Deeparadhana charges payable to the above temple from April 1925 to the end of March 1928 at Rs 35-80 p.m. and (ii) to transfer, out of the bonds held by the temple, State Loan bonds of the market value sufficient to collect the above amount (Rs 1278/) to the Trustees on behalf of the temple on the understanding that the amount thereby will be utilised towards the cost of building a Chattram. ~~for prohibiting accommodation to pilgrims visiting the temple.~~

List of Enclosure

Order -315- of Land Records & M.C.

The bills appropriating Rs 1278 are sanctioned. Copied by ... on behalf of the Temple
The second recommendation of the M.C. is also sanctioned subject to the condition ... to the Trustees State Loan bonds of sufficient to cover the amount to be transferred
Examined by

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

that the amount shd be paid to the ~~Chairman~~ Trustees, only after the Chateau is built and a definite agreement is entered into, to place a portrait in the building at the Chateau of the ellyne Govt Officers who they visit the place.

79

Fr Cl Leeg to Lodge

25/4

The M.c will consist of bills & other records

- Comptroller
- D.C. Harrison